NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FILED

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

JAN 20 2006

GUOXIANG LI,

Petitioner,

V.

ALBERTO R. GONZALES,** Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 03-74128

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

Agency No. A76-868-296

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Argued and Submitted November 18, 2005 Honolulu, Hawaii

Before: HAWKINS, McKEOWN, and CLIFTON, Circuit Judges.

Petitioner Guoxiang Li ("Li") challenges the denial of asylum relief based on an adverse credibility finding of the Immigration Judge ("IJ"), summarily affirmed and incorporated by the Board of Immigration Appeals ("BIA").

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

^{**} Alberto R. Gonzales is substituted for his predecessor, John Ashcroft, as Attorney General of the United States, pursuant to Fed. R. App. P. 43(c)(2).

The IJ's adverse credibility finding was based on (1) Li's demeanor, (2) conflicts between his testimony and a report from the U.S. State Department, and (3) the IJ's belief that Li's claim of a forced abortion in his girlfriend's eighth month of pregnancy was "incredible."

The IJ described Li's demeanor as "poor," stating that he "seemed puzzled and confused," and that his "testimony was halting." These descriptions are insufficiently detailed to support the IJ's credibility finding. The IJ must specifically and cogently refer to the aspects of the applicant's demeanor that call the applicant's credibility into question. *Arulampalam v. Ashcroft*, 353 F.3d 679, 686 (9th Cir. 2003).

While the IJ may use a country report to discredit a generalized statement about the country, the IJ may not use it to discredit specific testimony regarding the applicant's individual experience. *Zheng v. Ashcroft*, 397 F.3d 1139, 1143-44 (9th Cir. 2005). Therefore, the IJ's use of the state department country report to discredit Li's testimony regarding his experience is invalid.

The IJ described Li's claim that his girlfriend was forced to have an abortion in her eighth month of pregnancy as "incredible." An adverse credibility finding cannot rest on mere speculation or conjecture. *See Shah v. INS*, 220 F.3d 1062, 1069 (9th Cir. 2000). With no specific evidence to discredit Li's claim, the IJ's belief that

Li's story was too fantastic to be believed was based simply on personal speculation and was, therefore, an improper basis for an adverse credibility finding.

We remand pursuant to *INS v. Ventura*, 537 U.S. 12, 17-18 (2002), for proceedings consistent with this disposition.

PETITION GRANTED AND REMANDED.